

CARL SCHREIBER  
ATTORNEY-AT-LAW  
40 WEST 72 ST.  
NEW YORK CITY 23

EN 2-6100

June 4, 1967

Dear Harold:

Certainly very good to receive your letter of 5/27/67, and although unsigned, I knew immediately that it was you. Likewise, I won't sign this letter but I imagine you will gather that it is I.

I am enclosing a few items which I'm sure you don't know about; at any rate, I'd like you to take the time to try some of them for size. Or, as they say, Run it up the flag-pole and let's see if anybody salutes it. Or something.

As you may know there was a WOR Radio news-report on the John Wingate Program June 2, 1967 at 8PM: the FBI today stated Attorney General Ramsey Clark was in error when Clark said on March 2/67 (NYTIMES March 3) that Clay Shaw "was involved in an FBI investigation in the New Orleans area in November and December, 1963. We have the evidence that's there involved, and you can assume that the FBI's conclusions were from the Warren ~~Report~~ Commission Report." (sic)

The John Wingate news item was almost electrifying to me, Harold, because I had just been reading the March 3 Ramsey Clark statement in the Times. The radio news item went on to say that the FBI never investigated Shaw and that the FBI never heard of him before the Garrison investigation.

I couldn't find one word about this WOR June 2 news-flash in any of the newspapers since then; and I think it is very interesting that the head of the U.S. Dept. of Justice, Clark, should say that he knows Shaw was thoroughly investigated by the FBI, and, then the FBI denies that it ever investigated Shaw. And, the media doesn't print one word, here in NYC about it. Robert B. Semple, Jr. is the Reporter in the March 2/67 Special to the New York Times.

I can't comment about Price; I just sense something there; particularly when he inquired about your detailed background in order to present these details to his close friends who are members of the Board of Directors of the very Foundations mentioned at the time of the CIA-Foundation affiliation disclosures. I'm probably wrong about this; perhaps Price doesn't read the news; I reminded him that probably Weisberg and Lane and anyone else ~~would be~~ would be highly interesting to a Foundation affiliated with CIA money, and, Price said: Of course, not. This was by phone; he called me back a few days later, tentative future appt., and I haven't contacted him since. Anyway, I don't know your detailed background and I told him to call you about that. Who knows.

My silver mine is a very small mine. Sort of a friendly mine which I prospected some years ago; major companies interested and have made offers. Therefore, now comes the wheeling and dealing. First company that comes up with the \$ gets the little mine.

Sincerely, Carl